

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/619,046	0	7/14/2003	Seung-Jae Han	4-4	6070	
32498	7590	10/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CAPITOL :		& TRADEMARK	NGUYEN, K	NGUYEN, KHAI MINH		
P.O. BOX 19		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
VIENNA, VA 22183			2617			

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summan		10/619,046	HAN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
_		Khai M. Nguyen	2617		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3.5,7,8,11-14,16,18,19,22-27,29,31 Claim(s) 4,6,9,10,15,17,20,21,28,30,33 and 34 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accertainly accertain and policient may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath of th	vn from consideration. 32,35 and 36 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Driarity ı	under 35 II S C & 119				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/1/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 11-14, 16, 18-19, 22-23, 25, 27, 29, 31-32 and 35, Applicant argues, on pages 11-13 of the remarks, that Brody and Lo do not disclose, teach, or suggest "calculating a second balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said second layer; and adjusting said transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric".

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's argument because Brody and Lo clearly discloses calculating a second balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said second layer (see Brody, fig.3-4, col.11, lines 14-55, instantaneous cell loading is periodically monitored and balance loading among the various cells in system, and predetermined threshold value DHTHRESH and DRTHRESH are assigned to each cell in system, (a network can have more than one base stations, and each or the cell site base stations defines a geographical cell (layer)); and adjusting said transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric (see Lo, fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, A threshold for allowing a call entering into the system is adaptively adjusted according to system condition so that the calls are sustained in a predetermined quality.

Art Unit: 2617

Furthermore, in allocating channels, the loads of the macro cell and micro cells are balanced so that the system has a higher channel utility.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 11-14, 16, 18-19, 22-27, 29, 31-32, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brody et al. (U.S.Pat-4670899) in view of Lo et al. (U.S.Pat-6792275).

Regarding claim 1, Brody teaches a method for calculating a transmission characteristic threshold for use in assigning a user to one layer in a plurality of layers in a wireless communications network (fig.1-2, abstract), said method comprising:

calculating a first balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said first layer (fig.3-5b, col.7, lines 4-24, col.11, lines 14-55),

calculating a second balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said second layer (fig.3-5b, col.7, lines 4-24, col.11, lines 14-55).

Brody fails to specifically discloses adjusting said transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric. However, Lo teaches adjusting said transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric (fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8). Therefore, it

Art Unit: 2617

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Lo to Brody to provide balance the channel utility between the micro cell and macro cell.

Regarding claim 2, Brody and Lo further teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising the step of assigning said user to a layer in response to the value of a first user transmission characteristic of a transmission from said user relative to said adjusted transmission characteristic threshold (see Lo, fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8).

Regarding claim 3, Brody and Lo further teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said transmission characteristic threshold is a threshold corresponding to the size of the data to be transmitted to or from said user (see Lo, fig.1, line 61 to col.4, line 8).

Regarding claim 5, Brody and Lo further teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said transmission characteristic threshold is a threshold corresponding to the velocity of said user (see Brody, col.6, lines 43-56, col.7, lines 4-24).

Regarding claim 7, Brody and Lo further teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said first operating characteristic corresponds to an average number of users (see Brody, fig.13, col.24, lines 6-28, col.25, line 50 to col.26, line 7).

Regarding claim 8, Brody and Lo further teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said first operating characteristic corresponds to the expected system load as seen by said user (see Brody, col.7, lines 4-41).

Regarding claim 11, Brody and Lo further teaches the method of claim 8 wherein said first balancing metric is determined by calculating the number of users in the first

Art Unit: 2617

layer of said network and said second balancing metric is determined by calculating the number of users in said second layer of said network (see Lo, fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8).

Regarding claim 12, Brody teaches apparatus for calculating a transmission characteristic threshold for use in assigning a user to one layer in a plurality of layers in a wireless communications network (fig.1-2, abstract), said apparatus comprising:

means for calculating a first balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said first layer (fig.3-5b, col.7, lines 4-24, col.11, lines 14-55);

means for calculating a second balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said second layer (fig.3-5b, col.7, lines 4-24, col.11, lines 14-55); and

Brody fails to specifically discloses adjusting said transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric. However, Lo teaches adjusting said transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric (fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Lo to Brody to provide balance the channel utility between the micro cell and macro cell.

Regarding claim 13, Brody and Lo further teaches the apparatus of claim 12 further comprising means for assigning said user to a layer in response to the value of a first user transmission characteristic of a transmission from said user relative to said adjusted transmission characteristic threshold (see Lo, fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3,

Art Unit: 2617

line 61 to col.4, line 8).

Regarding claim 14, Brody and Lo further teaches the apparatus of claim 12 wherein said transmission characteristic threshold is a threshold corresponding to the size of the data to be transmitted to or from said user (see Lo, fig.1, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8).

Regarding claim 16, Brody and Lo further teaches the apparatus of claim 12 wherein said transmission characteristic threshold is a threshold corresponding to the velocity of said user (see Brody, col.6, lines 43-56, col.7, lines 4-24).

Regarding claim 18, Brody and Lo further teaches the apparatus of claim 12 wherein said first operating characteristic corresponds to an average number of users (see Brody, fig.13, col.24, lines 6-28, col.25, line 50 to col.26, line 7).

Regarding claim 19, Brody and Lo further teaches the apparatus of claim 12 wherein said first operating characteristic corresponds to the expected system load as seen by said user (see Brody, col.7, lines 4-41).

Regarding claim 22, Brody and Lo further teaches the apparatus of claim 18 wherein said first balancing metric is determined by calculating the number of users in the first layer of said network and said second balancing metric is determined by calculating the number of users in said second layer of said network (see Lo, fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8).

Regarding claim 23, Brody teaches an assignment manager for assigning a user to one layer in a plurality of layers in a wireless communications network (fig.1-2, abstract), said assignment manager (fig.1-2, element 20) comprising:

Art Unit: 2617

a first circuit for calculating a first balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said first layer (fig.3-5b, col.7, lines 4-24, col.11, lines 14-55);

a second circuit for calculating a second balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said second layer (fig.3-5b, col.7, lines 4-24, col.11, lines 14-55); and Brody fails to specifically disclose a third circuit for adjusting a transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric. However, Lo teaches a third circuit for adjusting a transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric (fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Lo to Brody to provide balance the channel utility between the micro cell and macro cell.

Regarding claims 24, 26, and 36, Brody and Lo further teaches the assignment of claim 23 wherein said first circuit, said second circuit, said third circuit and said fourth circuit are the same circuit (see Lo, fig.1, col.2, lines 16-53).

Regarding claim 25, Brody and Lo further teaches the assignment manager of claim 23 further comprising a fourth circuit for assigning said user to a layer in response to the value of a first user transmission characteristic of a transmission from said user relative to said adjusted transmission characteristic threshold (see Lo, fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8).

Regarding claim 27, Brody and Lo further teaches the assignment manager of claim 23 wherein said transmission characteristic threshold is a threshold corresponding

Art Unit: 2617

to the size of the data to be transmitted to or from said user (see Lo, fig.1, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8).

Regarding claim 29, Brody and Lo further teaches the assignment manager of claim 23 wherein said transmission characteristic threshold is a threshold corresponding to the velocity of said user (see Brody, col.6, lines 43-56, col.7, lines 4-24).

Regarding claim 31, Brody and Lo further teaches the assignment manager of claim 23 wherein said first operating characteristic corresponds to an average number of users (see Brody, fig.13, col.24, lines 6-28, col.25, line 50 to col.26, line 7).

Regarding claim 32, Brody and Lo further teaches the assignment manager of claim 23 wherein said first operating characteristic corresponds to the expected system load as seen by said user (see Brody, col.7, lines 4-41).

Regarding claim 35, Brody and Lo further teaches the assignment manager of claim 32 wherein said first balancing metric is determined by calculating the number of users in the first layer of said network and said second balancing metric is determined by calculating the number of users in said second layer of said network (see Lo, fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, line 61 to col.4, line 8).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 6, 9-10, 15, 17, 20-21, 28, 30, and 33-34 are objected to as being 3. dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khai M. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571.272.7923. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571.272.7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Khai Nguyen Au: 2617

9/27/2006

GEORGE ENG 1.

CUREDVISORY PATENT EXAMINER